Todd E. Zenger (5238)
Dax D. Anderson (10168)
KIRTON & McCONKIE
1800 Eagle Gate Tower
60 East South Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Phono: (801) 328, 3600

Phone: (801) 328-3600 Fax: (801) 321-4893

Email: tzenger@kmclaw.com
Email: danderson@kmclaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

KLEIN-BECKER USA, LLC, a Limited Liability Company, and KLEIN-BECKER IP HOLDINGS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

COLLAGEN CORPORATION; DOCTORS SKIN CARE INSTITUTE MEDICAL CLINIC, INC.; and LESLIE FEINSTEIN *aka* L. LOUISE BRODY *aka* LOUISE BRODY FEINSTEIN *aka* LOUISE LESLIE FEINSTEIN,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:07-CV-00873 TS

Judge Ted Stewart

Defendants' Opposition To Plaintiffs' motion to compel

Defendants submit<sup>1</sup> this memorandum in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion to compel.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Based upon Defendant's discovery responses, Defendants are small two person operations and have derived no profit from sales in the product packaging at issue in this case.

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs granted Defendants an extension of time in which to respond up through July 3, 2008.

Defendants have now served discovery responses.

Plaintiffs have sufficient time remaining during discovery and pretrial to adequately prepare it case without any prejudice.

#### **ARGUMENT**

The undersigned timely forwarded Plaintiffs' discovery requests to Defendants. However, for some unknown reason, the undersigned did not receive authorization to participate in preparing discovery responses until June 26, 2008. Substantive responses have now been prepared and served on Plaintiffs.

Plaintiffs' trial preparation is not prejudiced. Both discovery and other scheduled pretrial matters are still open and ahead in this case.

Because substantive responses have been provided, and because there is no substantive prejudice to Plaintiffs, Defendants request the Court to forgive this inattention of Defendants.

#### **CONCLUSION**

This Court has the discretion to deny the motion and any request for fees. Because Defendants have provided discovery responses, Plaintiffs' motion to compel should be denied. The Defendants request that the Court recognize that Defendants have responded and deny any award of fees or costs.

DATED this 3rd day of July, 2008.

KIRTON & McCONKIE

Ву: ˌ

Todd E. Zenger

Dax D. Anderson

Attorneys Defendants

COLLAGEN CORPORATION, ET AL.

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 3 rd day of July, 2008, the foregoing **Defendants' Opposition To Plaintiffs' motion to compel** was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, District of Utah, using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of such filing to the following:

Brent V. Manning Chad R. Derum MANING CURTIS BRADSHAW & BEDNAR, LLC Third Floor Newhouse Bulding 10 Exchange Place Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

1071694